

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/679,687	10/06/2003	Thomas J. Gieseke	82996	5335		
75	590 03/23/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Office Of Counsel, Bldg 112T			JOHNSON,	JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
Naval Undersea	a Warfare Center					
Division, Newport			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1176 Howell Street			3641			
Newport, RI 02841-1708			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					\wedge			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/679,6	37	GIESEKE ET AL.	(4) 10			
		Examine	7	Art Unit	γ			
		Stephen	M. Johnson	3641	1			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will	ATION. 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev cation. ays, a reply within the stat ory period will apply and w	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	imely filed nys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co	<i>(.</i> mmunication.			
Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the mailing date of this co	mmunication, even if timely file	ed, may reduce any				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 October 200</u>	<u>13</u> .					
		⊠ This action is r						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-8,10 and 12-20</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,9 and 11</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co jected. I to.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b	<u>3</u> is/are: a) ☐ acc on to the drawing(s) e correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	cuments have been cuments have been the priority documents laureau (PCT Rules)	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/06/2003</u> .		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for deploying said detecting means (claims 6 and 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The means for deploying the detecting means (claims 6 and 7) is unsupported in the written specification, as originally filed.
- 3. Claims 6-7, 12-13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 6 and 7, it is not understood as to what structure is intended to correspond to the claimed function of "means for deploying said detecting means"? In claim 19, it is not understood as to how one may perform the step of sinking the hydrodynamic module to the bottom of the body of water when in the previous step (see claim 18) the hydrodynamic module has been recovered from the body of water.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Myers.

Myers discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module;

b) at least one propulsor; 12, 13

c) a gun; and 88 or [7, 9, 98]

d) detecting the underwater target. 107 or 35

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 10, 12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers in view of Kirschner et al..

Myers applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed is a source of clearing the gun barrel via compressed air. Kirschner et al. teach a source of clearing the gun barrel via compressed air, 104, 106, 108. Applicant is selecting and assembling a means known in this art to clear an underwater gun barrel prior to firing and putting it to use as it is already commonly known to be used in this art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Kirschner et al. to the Myers device and have an underwater gun barrel that is cleared by compressed air.

8. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

Adams discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module; 2, 3

b) at least one propulsor; and 4, 5

c) a gun.

9. Claims 1, 4, 6, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillenbrand.

Hillenbrand discloses a device for countering an underwater target comprising:

a) a module;

b) at least one propulsor; 30

c) a gun; and figs. 5, 6, 7

d) detecting the underwater target. 25 or 51

- 10. Claims 2-3, 5, 9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 7 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claim 19 is too indefinite in its current form to make a determination regarding patentable subject matter.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Korolenko, Wheaton, Drimmer, Burt, and Lawrence disclose other state of the art underwater devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,687

Art Unit: 3641

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be

reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-

9327.

Duph h hum

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641 Page 5

SMJ